Internal auditing report (Evaluation of Theory examination) form (3)

College: College of Nursing	Program: Nursing
Year / semester : First semester 1446	Audit completion date: 25-12-2024
No of Courses:	Principle of nutrition NRS ٣٥٤

Please complete the table below by ticking an option from (YES, NO) on the rating scale

No	Quality indicator	Rating scale		Remarks
		Yes	**No**	
١	Question paper include the approved cover page circulated by the exam committee.		✓	**KPI is not mentioned on the Cover page.**
٢	The course learning outcome (CLO) matched with key performance indicators (KPIs)		✓	**KPI is not mentioned on the Cover page.**
٣	The duration of the exam is suits with the number of questions.		✓	**The duration should one hour only for 20 questions**
٤	The questions are clear and formulated in specific terms.	✓		

Feedback:

❖ **Internal audit Committee's comment:**

The questions from 1 – 5, 10-15, 16-20 Course learing outcomes on the cover page are not matching with Course learning Outcomes on the CS.

❖ **Strengths:**

MCQ were framed as per the regulations.

Weaknesses:

The number of questions is so short, only 20 questions for 40 marks!

❖ **Recommendations:**

Critical thinking types of questions should be increased, and the CLOs and KPIs should be addressed correctly on the cover page.

.

Internal auditing report (Evaluation of Theory examination) form (3)

College: College of Nursing	**Program: Nursing**
Year / semester : First semester 1446	**Audit completion date: 26-12-2024**
No of Courses:	**NRS246 Microbiology**
Please complete the table below by ticking an option from (YES, NO) on the rating scale	

No	Quality indicator	Rating scale		Remarks
		Yes	**No**	
١	Question paper include the approved cover page circulated by the exam committee.	✔		
٢	The course learning outcome (CLO) matched with key performance indicators (KPIs)	✔		
٣	The duration of the exam is suits with the number of questions.	✔		
٤	The questions are clear and formulated in specific terms.	✔		

Feedback:

❖ **Internal audit Committee's comment:**

❖ More questions to assess cognitive skills should be included.

❖ **Strengths:**

The exam questions were neatly framed and all regulations were followed

❖ **Weakness:**

The mark distribution details were incorrect in the front page.

❖ **Recommendations:**